

Florida State University Libraries

Mon Apr 01 00:00:00 ED

Higher-protein Intake And Physical Activity Are Associated With Healthier Body Composition And Cardiometabolic Health In Hispanic Adults

Ann F. Brown, Carla M. Prado, Sunita Ghosh, Shawn M. Leonard, Paul J. Arciero, Katherine L. Tucker and Michael J. Ormsbee

The publisher's version of record is available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.01.002>



Higher-protein intake and physical activity are associated with healthier body composition and cardiometabolic health in Hispanic adults

Ann F. Brown^{1,2}, Carla M. Prado³, Sunita Ghosh^{2,4}, Shawn M. Leonard², Paul J. Arciero⁵, Katherine L Tucker⁶, Michael J. Ormsbee^{2,7*}

¹*College of Education, Health & Human Sciences, Department of Movement Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID*

²*Institute of Sport Sciences and Medicine, College of Human Sciences, Department of Nutrition, Food and Exercise Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL; afbrown@uidaho.edu, sml11c@my.fsu.edu, sunita.ghosh@albertahealthservices.ca, mormsbee@fsu.edu*

³*Department of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada; carla.prado@ualberta.ca*

⁴*Oncology, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada; Human Nutrition and Performance Laboratory; sunita.ghosh@albertahealthservices.ca*

⁵*Health and Human Physiological Sciences Department, Human Nutrition and Metabolism Laboratory, Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY; Psychology Department and Neuroscience Program, Union College, Schenectady NY; parciero@skidmore.edu*

⁶*Department of Clinical Laboratory and Nutritional Sciences, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA; katherine_tucker@uml.edu*

⁷*Discipline of Biokinetics, Exercise and Leisure Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; mormsbee@fsu.edu**

* Correspondence: MJ Ormsbee, 120 Convocation Way, 430 Sandels Building, Department of Nutrition, Food & Exercise Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL 32306.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Background:** Higher protein (HP) intake and physical activity (PA) have been associated with
3 improved lean soft tissue (LST) and reduced fat mass (FM). Puerto Ricans have among the
4 highest age-adjusted prevalence (42.5%) of obesity, which may be associated with inadequate
5 protein consumption and PA. We examined the relationship between protein intake and PA with
6 body composition and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health in Puerto Rican adults.

7 **Methods:** Participants included 959 Puerto Rican adults (71.4% women, 28.6% men) from the
8 Boston Puerto Rican Health Study (BPRHS), aged 46-79 y (Women: age, 60.4 ± 7.6 y, BMI,
9 32.9 ± 6.8 ; Men: age, 59.8 ± 7.9 y, BMI, 30.1 ± 5.2). Protein intake was assessed using a food
10 frequency questionnaire and expressed as g/kg body weight/day in energy intake-adjusted equal
11 cut point tertile categories (lower, moderate, higher: LP < 0.91 g/kg/d, MP $\geq 0.91 \leq 1.11$ g/kg/d,
12 and HP > 1.11 g/kg/d). PA was assessed by questionnaire and expressed in tertile categories
13 (low, moderate and high; PA1: < 0.8 kilometers (km)/d, PA2: ≥ 0.8 km/d ≤ 3.2 km/d, PA3: > 3.2
14 km/d).

15 **Results:** Participants with energy-adjusted HP had lower appendicular LST (ALST: 16.2 ± 3.8
16 kg), LST (39.7 ± 8.0 kg) and FM (25.6 ± 8.1 kg) when compared to LP (ALST: 20.1 ± 4.5 kg;
17 LST: 49.5 ± 10.0 kg; FM: 40.8 ± 12.3 kg; $P < 0.001$) and MP (ALST: 18.2 ± 4.3 kg; LST: $44.1 \pm$
18 8.8 kg; FM: 32.2 ± 9.8 kg; $P < 0.001$). However, when adjusted for total body weight (kg),
19 relative LST was significantly greater in HP ($58 \pm 9\%$) when compared to LP ($53 \pm 9\%$; $P <$
20 0.001) and MP ($56 \pm 9\%$; $P < 0.001$). Participants in PA3 had greater ALST (19.5 ± 5.4 kg), and
21 LST ($58 \pm 10\%$), compared to PA1 (ALST: 17.2 ± 4.3 kg; LST: $53 \pm 9\%$; $P < 0.001$) or PA2
22 (ALST: 17.7 ± 4.7 kg; LST: $56 \pm 9\%$; $P < 0.05$). Those in HP+PA3 or MP+PA2 had lower c-
23 reactive protein (CRP; HP+PA3: 5.1 ± 6.8 mg/L; MP+PA2: 6.4 ± 10.0 mg/L), when compared to

24 LP+PA1 (8.7 ± 8.8 mg/L; $P < 0.05$). Insulin concentration was lower for those in both the HP
25 and PA3 (HP+PA3; 11.4 ± 7.9 IU/mL) compared to those in both the LP and PA1 (LP+PA1;
26 20.7 ± 16.3 UI/mL) ($P < 0.001$).

27 **Conclusions:** The highest tertiles of energy-adjusted protein intake (≥ 1.11 g/kg/d) and PA ($>$
28 3.2 km/d) were associated with more desirable indicators of overall body composition and
29 cardiometabolic health, when adjusted for body weight, than those in the lower protein intake
30 and PA in Puerto Rican adults.

31

32 **Keywords:** protein, body composition, lean soft tissue, fat mass, physical activity, Boston Puerto
33 Rican Health Study

34 BACKGROUND

35 Obesity is recognized as a major public health concern because of its link to potential
36 fatal complications arising from metabolic and cardiovascular diseases. Currently, an estimated
37 68% of the United States (US) adult population is considered either overweight (body mass
38 index, BMI = 25 to 29.9 kg/m²) or obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²), and this has prompted research
39 aimed at developing strategies to combat this epidemic [1]. The health complications from
40 obesity are particularly high in Hispanic populations living in the U.S. [1]. Maintaining a healthy
41 weight in our obesogenic environment is challenging [2]. Despite many pharmacological
42 advances in this field, lifestyle interventions that emphasize proper nutrition and physical activity
43 (PA) continue to be the primary strategy for individuals to countermeasure excess body weight.

44 Relatively higher protein intake (> 1.6 g/kg/d) has been suggested to aid in the regulation
45 of body fat, appetite, and energy intake [3,4]. In one study, 23 weeks of whey protein
46 supplementation reduced body weight and fat mass (FM) in overweight and obese (n = 90) free-
47 living adults [5]. In a series of studies, we have demonstrated that the addition of whey protein
48 supplements as part of shorter and longer term weight loss interventions resulted in maintenance
49 of lean soft tissue (LST) mass and reductions in FM in overweight and obese participants [6,7].
50 Previous research also confirms that both moderate (25%) and high (40%) protein diets
51 improved body composition more than standard protein (15%) diets [6,8,9]. Protein has been
52 shown to have higher satiating effects, compared to either carbohydrates or fat [10,11]
53 suggesting that increased consumption of protein may be helpful in reducing hunger and
54 promoting weight loss. However, while protein is satiating, individual total energy needs vary
55 considerably. For this reason it is necessary to adjust for total energy intake to consider protein

56 intake within the context of total energy because if total energy increases, all macronutrients are
57 likely to increase as well [12–15].

58 Higher PA levels have also been associated with higher LST and lower FM [16,17].
59 However, research suggests that the beneficial effects of PA on body composition and metabolic
60 health are amplified with sufficient protein in the diet [16,18]. Higher intensity PA combined
61 with a balanced diet higher protein diet has been shown to elicit beneficial changes in body
62 composition and cardiovascular disease risk in obese individuals, relative to low or moderate PA
63 and a traditional heart healthy diet [8,19].

64 Given the severity of the obesity epidemic in the US, particularly among the Puerto Rican
65 population (42.5%) [20], higher protein intake, along with greater PA may be related to normal
66 body composition phenotype and overall health. The primary objectives were to analyze
67 associations between 1) protein intake and body composition, 2) PA and body composition, and
68 3) the interaction of protein intake and PA with biomarkers of cardiometabolic health in Puerto
69 Rican adults. We hypothesized that higher protein intake and higher levels of PA would be
70 associated with greater LST and lower FM, as well as better cardiometabolic health, in a
71 population-based cohort of adult Puerto Rican men and women.

72

73 **METHODS**

74 **Participants**

75 Participant data were obtained from the Boston Puerto Rican Health Study (BPRHS)
76 [21], a longitudinal cohort study (2004-2017). The BPRHS aims to understand the relationship
77 between stress, nutrition, and chronic disease conditions in Puerto Rican adults living in the
78 United States, Boston, Massachusetts (MA) area [21–23]. Briefly, participants were recruited
79 using Census data from 2000 to identify community areas with high Hispanic density, and door-
80 to-door enumeration. Participants were also identified at community events, through referrals,
81 and through the use of flyers. Eligible participants were of Puerto Rican descent, able to answer
82 interview questions in English or Spanish, aged 45-75 years, and lived in the Boston, MA area.
83 Bilingual interviewers visited the participants' homes to complete questionnaires, and to collect
84 information on socioeconomic status, health, and health behaviors. All interviewers were trained
85 to administer questionnaires and collect measurements following procedures from National
86 Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) II and the MacArthur Studies of
87 Successful Aging [24,25]. A certified phlebotomist collected 12 hour fasted biological samples,
88 including blood, saliva, and urine, the day after the interview or as soon as possible thereafter.
89 Participants were excluded from the study if they could not answer questions due to a serious
90 health condition, if they did not plan to live in the area for more than 2 y, or if they scored ≤ 10
91 on the Mini Mental State Examination. Data were collected at baseline and at 2 y follow up.
92 Body composition with dual-energy X-Ray absorptiometry (DXA) was available only at the 2 y
93 time point (See Methods, below). Therefore, all data presented here are cross-sectional and from
94 the 2 y time point. For the purpose of our analysis, participants were included if body
95 composition, dietary and PA data were available. Procedures were followed in accordance with

96 guidelines approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tufts Medical Center. All participants
97 provided written informed consent prior to the start of the study in the language of preference.
98 The data were approved for use by the Florida State University Institutional Review Board.

99 **Design & Methodology**

100 *Dietary intake assessment*

101 Dietary data were collected with a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ)
102 adapted and validated for use with the BPRHS cohort [26]. The questionnaire food list was
103 adapted from the National Cancer Institute/Block FFQ, with data from the Hispanic Health and
104 Nutrition Examination Survey (HHANES) dietary recalls for Puerto Rican adults [21,26].
105 Specific foods and recipes were added to the FFQ to accommodate the typical Puerto Rican diet,
106 which can differ greatly from the average US diet [26]. Participants reported both frequencies of
107 consumption as well as portion size. Dietary analysis was performed using the Nutrition Data
108 System for Research (NDS-R) software (version 2007, Nutrition Coordinating Center, University
109 of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN). Outliers for energy intake were excluded when values were <
110 600 or > 4400 kcal/d [27]. Protein intake (g/kg) was statistically divided into equal tertiles of
111 intake, adjusted for energy intake (kcal) (see statistical analysis), with the following cut point
112 values to create three equal groups (LP: lower protein, < 0.91 g/kg/d, n=317; MP: moderate
113 protein, $\geq 0.91 \leq 1.11$ g/kg/d, n=317; HP: higher protein, > 1.11 g/kg/d, n=316).

114 *Assessment of covariates*

115 Standing height, weight, waist circumference (WC), and hip circumference (HP) were
116 measured in duplicate. Detailed methodology for anthropometric measures is included in
117 Additional file 1. BMI was calculated using weight (kg) divided by height (m²). Systolic and
118 diastolic blood pressures were measured with an electronic sphygmomanometer (Additional file

119 1) at three time points during the interview; an average of the second and third readings was used
120 for both measures. Body composition was measured by DXA to obtain LST and index (LSTI,
121 height in m^2), FM and index (FMI, height in m^2), appendicular LST mass commonly termed
122 appendicular skeletal mass as LST from arms and legs is skeletal muscle (ALST, the sum of LST
123 from limbs), and appendicular LST index (ALSTI, $ALST/height$ in m^2). DXA measurements
124 were performed using a Lunar model Prodigy scanner (General Electric) using standard
125 procedures by the manufacturer for a whole body scan. The DXA was calibrated weekly using an
126 external standard aluminum spine phantom (Lunar Radiation Corp) to ensure stability of
127 measurements. The manufacturer lists a coefficient of variation (CV) of less than 0.5% for the
128 quality control phantom scan and similar models have reported CV values of 1.5 and 1.9% for
129 LST and FM respectively [28]. LST and FM measurements were also adjusted for height (m^2) to
130 minimize confounding as standard in body composition research [29]. Additionally, LST was
131 adjusted for total body weight (kg) to determine relative LST associated with energy-adjusted
132 tertiles in order to account for various sizes of individuals [6,9].

133 PA was assessed through self-report using a modified Paffenbarger questionnaire of the
134 Harvard Alumni Activity Survey, which was validated in an elderly Puerto Rican population
135 [14,30,31]. PA, as measured by distance covered per day (km/day) was collected and was
136 divided into equal tertile categories of PA (PA1 < 0.8 km/d, $n=367$; PA2 $\geq 0.8 \leq 3.2$ km/d,
137 $n=214$; PA3 > 3.2 km/d, $n=353$). Additionally, participants that consumed < 0.91 g protein/kg/d
138 and walked < 0.8 km/d were placed into the LP+PA1 category ($n=129$), those that consumed \geq
139 $0.91 \leq 1.11$ g protein/kg/d and walked $\geq 0.8 \leq 3.2$ km/d were placed into the MP+PA2 category
140 ($n=141$), and those that consumed > 1.11 g protein/kg/d and walked > 3.2 km/d were placed into
141 the HP+PA3 category ($n=62$).

142 Blood samples were analyzed for C-reactive protein (CRP), testosterone, insulin-like
143 growth factor 1(IGF-1), tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α), interleukin 6 (IL-6), total cholesterol,
144 HDL, LDL, triglycerides, glucose, and insulin, as described previously [21]. (Additional File 1).

145 *Statistical analysis*

146 All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 15.0 (IBM, SPSS Statistics).
147 Mean \pm SD were used for continuous variables and frequency and percentages for categorical
148 variables. One way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values of body composition, body
149 weight, dietary intake and biochemical variables in relation to tertiles of protein (energy-
150 adjusted) intake and PA (km/d) levels; separately by sex and age (\leq 65 years and $>$ 65 years).
151 Energy-adjusted protein intake removed the potential confounding factor of total energy intake
152 and is a better representative of protein intake and its relationship to all independent variables.
153 Therefore, the remainder of the results and discussion will focus on energy-adjusted protein
154 intake.

155 Energy-adjusted protein intake was calculated by regressing reported protein intake as
156 dependent variable on total energy intake as an independent variable [15]. Residual was
157 calculated as: *residual = observed protein intake – predicted protein intake*
158 The residual obtained from the regression model provides a measure of protein intake
159 uncorrelated with total energy intake [15]. The energy-adjusted protein intake was finally
160 calculated as: adjusted protein intake = a + b. Where a = residual obtained from the regression
161 model; b is the expected protein intake with mean energy intake [32].

162 *Energy adjusted protein intake = mean protein intake + residual*

163 Linear regression analysis was used to study the association of adjusted protein intake with sex,
164 age, total FM and LST. Interactions of sex and age with total FM and LST were tested with

165 generalized linear models (GLM). GLM models were also used to study the relationship of total
166 FM and LST with PA and energy-adjusted protein intake, and their respective interaction terms.
167 A *P*-value < 0.05 was used for statistical significance.

168 **RESULTS**

169 When stratified by sex (W: n=690, M: n=269), no significant interactions were observed
170 between energy-adjusted protein tertiles and therefore results are presented as a total population.
171 Outcome variables that were different when stratified by age (< 65 and > 65y) are provided in
172 the Supplemental File. The mean age of the study population was 60 ± 8 y, ranging from 46 to
173 79 y; ~71% were women and 83% of the women had already experienced menopause. Although,
174 total body weight was greater in Puerto Rican men than women, 62.8% of women and 46.1% of
175 men were categorized as obese.

176 Body composition and dietary characteristics of the total population, separated by tertiles
177 of energy-adjusted protein intake, are shown in Table 2. Those with HP intake had lower ALST,
178 LST and FM when compared to LP and MP ($P < 0.001$; Table 2). When adjusted for height (m^2),
179 ALSTI (kg/m^2), LSTI (kg/m^2) and FMI (kg/m^2) remained significantly lower in those with HP
180 when compared to LP or to MP ($P < 0.001$). However, when adjusted for total body weight (kg),
181 relative LST was significantly greater in the HP group, when compared to LP or MP ($P < 0.001$).
182 Total energy intake was greater in the LP group, compared to MP or HP ($P < 0.001$). Although
183 macronutrient intake (kcal/d) differed across groups ($P < 0.001$), the percent of total energy from
184 fat was similar (LP: 32%, MP: 32%, HP: 33%). Carbohydrate intake was lower in the HP group
185 (48% total energy) compared to LP (53% total energy) or MP (51% total energy). Mean protein
186 intake in the HP group was 1.4 g/kg/d, relative to 0.91 g/kg/d in LP and 0.81 g/kg/d in MP
187 (Figure 1).

188 No differences were found between PA tertiles and body weight, WC, LST, or LST
189 (kg/m^2 ; Table 3). PA3 had greater ALST, ALSTI and relative LST compared to PA1 ($P < 0.001$)
190 or PA2 ($P < 0.05$). PA3 had lower FM compared to PA1 ($P < 0.001$; Figure 2). BMI was
191 significantly lower in PA3 compared to PA1 (Figure 2; $P < 0.001$).

192 Cardiometabolic biomarkers of health were examined against energy-adjusted protein
193 and PA tertiles (Table 4). HP+PA3 and MP+PA2 had lower CRP, compared to LP+PA1 ($P <$
194 0.05). IGF-1 was higher in HP+PA3 compared to LP+PA1 ($P < 0.05$) or MP+PA2 ($P < 0.001$,
195 respectively). HP+PA3 was higher in total cholesterol ($P < 0.001$), HDL ($P < 0.05$) and LDL (P
196 < 0.05 , $P < 0.001$, respectively) when compared to LP+PA1 and MP+PA2. Insulin concentration
197 was lower in HP+PA3 compared to LP+PA1 ($P < 0.001$). There were no significant differences
198 between tertile groups for TNF- α , IL-6, triglycerides or glucose concentrations.

199 **DISCUSSION**

200 In this cross-sectional analysis of the BPRHS, the highest tertile of energy-adjusted
201 dietary protein intake (≥ 1.11 g/kg/d) and greater PA (> 3.2 km/d) were associated with healthier
202 body composition phenotype and cardiometabolic risk factors. Similarly to the energy-adjusted
203 protein tertiles, PA tertiles resulted comparable body composition differences when adjusted for
204 total body weight. Thus, it appears that higher PA combined with a higher protein diet (HP) is
205 the most advantageous to relative LST. However, PA tertiles showed higher LST (kg) in HP
206 compared to LP and MP without adjusting for total body weight. Indeed, the combination of HP
207 (> 1.11 g/kg/d) and PA (> 3.2 km/d) was associated with healthier body composition as well as
208 reduced CRP and insulin concentrations, indicating reduced inflammatory response and better
209 insulin-sensitivity compared to the combination of LP (< 0.91 g/kg/d) and PA (< 0.8 km/d).

210 In this analysis, higher energy-adjusted protein intake was positively associated with
211 healthier body composition phenotypes. Previous research has shown findings similar to ours in
212 both observational and intervention studies [8,33–36]. In the Health ABC (Aging & Body
213 Composition) Study cohort, those in the highest protein quintile (> 1.1 g/kg/d) retained greater
214 lean mass over a 3-y follow up compared to those in the lowest protein quintile (< 0.7 g/kg/d)
215 [33]. Additionally, moderate protein diets (25% total energy intake) can elicit similar body
216 composition phenotypes to those consuming higher protein diets (40% of total intake) when
217 combined with exercise in an overweight population [8,9,19]. In the current study, there was a
218 significant positive relationship between LST and protein intake (≥ 1.11 g/kg/d; $\sim 19\%$ of total
219 energy intake), which may be a more ideal protein intake for an adult population. Although
220 ≥ 1.11 g/kg/d of may be sufficient protein intake to promote maintenance of LST, it may not be
221 sufficient enough to stimulate FM and body weight loss or to increase LST [37]. Baer et al.
222 showed that whey protein supplementation (56g/d) for 23 weeks stimulated FM loss (-2.3 kg)
223 while maintaining FFM without energy restriction in free-living overweight and obese adults
224 [5,6,19]. Further research is warranted to determine the amount of protein needed to stimulate
225 loss of FM and body weight.

226 Multiple mechanisms could explain why those who consumed higher protein had
227 significantly lower body weight and higher relative LST and ALST when adjusting for energy
228 intake. In higher protein diets, satiety may be increased [10,11] leading to lower total energy
229 intake which may explain why body weight was lower in HP compared to LP and MP. Higher
230 relative LST is likely influenced by higher metabolic activity of skeletal muscle which is
231 maintained by a diet higher in protein (HP) compared to LP and MP [3,36]. In addition to higher
232 protein intake (HP) being associated with lower body weight and higher relative LST, absolute

233 FM (kg) was lower in HP compared to LP and MP which largely explains the differences in total
234 body weight between energy-adjusted protein tertiles.

235 It is well established that higher PA is associated with a healthier body composition and
236 lower cardiometabolic risk factors [18,22,38]. However, in this particular cohort, previous
237 findings suggest that Puerto Rican adults living in the Boston area are likely to present with
238 psychological stress [39], which has been previously associated with being physically inactive
239 [22]. Although PA levels in the current study were low, findings indicate that minor increases in
240 PA were associated with greater relative LST and ALST and lower FM (kg) and BMI (kg/m²).
241 Higher ALST is particularly important due to its association with functional status and mobility
242 which may influence an individual's ability to be more physically active The American College
243 of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommends an accumulation of 10,000 steps/d or approximately
244 7.6 km [40]. Thus, even the highest PA tertile in this cohort did not perform PA to meet the
245 ACSM recommendations. However, even though < 4.0 km/d (5,250 steps/d) has been associated
246 with many chronic health conditions, there were significant differences in body composition
247 when comparing those that walked < 0.8km/d and those that walked > 3.2 km/d. Although all PA
248 groups were considered to be very low levels of activity, minor increases i.e. PA1 vs. PA3, were
249 associated with healthier body composition.

250 Previous findings are mixed for CRP and its response to diet where elevated CRP has
251 been found to be a stronger predictor of cardiovascular disease than LDL, and has been
252 associated with body fatness [41,42]. Due et al [41] provided either a higher or lower protein diet
253 to middle aged overweight adults. After six months, no significant effects on inflammatory
254 markers were observed and although the present study did not have a dietary intervention, it is
255 worth noting that energy-adjusted protein intake tertiles alone were not associated with CRP.

256 However, it is interesting to note that in the preset study, HP+PA3 was associated with
257 significantly lower CRP compared to LP+PA1, suggesting that higher protein intake and PA may
258 be more influential in attenuating the inflammatory response than higher protein intake alone, as
259 supported by previous research [43]. Other proinflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 and TNF-
260 α , did not differ significantly by protein intake or PA level in the present study.

261 Fasting insulin concentration was significantly lower in men and women that fit both the
262 HP and PA3 (HP+PA3) categories (> 1.11 g/kg/d and >3.2 km/d) compared to LP+PA1 (≤ 0.91
263 g/kg/d and ≤ 0.8 km/d), which supports previous research suggesting the importance of increased
264 PA for improving insulin regulation [43]. However, in the present study, higher PA was also
265 associated with significantly higher LDL-cholesterol, which contradicts previous findings [44].
266 The associations between protein intake and PA level with cardiometabolic health observed in
267 this study raise interesting questions in regard to whether diet or PA is the primary regulator
268 cardiometabolic health. Despite our data indicating that differences between LP+PA1, MP+PA2,
269 and HP+PA3 were minimal and that all spent inadequate time being physically active,
270 differences among cardiometabolic biomarkers were still observed. These findings warrant
271 further investigation into the level of PA needed for Puerto Rican adults to maintain a healthy
272 cardiometabolic profile.

273 Strengths of the current study include the large sample size of Puerto Rican men and
274 women in this cohort; the use of DXA to assess body composition; and the use of an adapted
275 FFQ for the BPRHS population. However, the results should also be interpreted in context of a
276 few limitations. First, the assessment of dietary intake at one time point may not represent long
277 term nutrient intake, and FFQ have the potential to present with reporting inaccuracies [13,33].
278 Secondly, the observational nature of the current study does not allow us to make causal

279 inferences between protein intake and PA levels with body composition phenotype and
280 cardiometabolic factors.

281 **LIMITATIONS**

282 It should be noted that PA was objectively measured through self-report and not by
283 accelerometry and therefore the PA results may include self-report bias. Additionally, this study
284 did not account for protein source (plant vs. animal) or quality and therefore outcome variables
285 may be influenced by other factors besides total dietary protein. The authors recognize that
286 HP+PA3 had higher LDL when compared to both LP+PA1 and MP+PA2 which may be
287 attributed to protein quality which was not accounted for in this study. Dietary patterns were also
288 not reported and therefore cannot be alluded to in this study.

289 **CONCLUSIONS**

290 In conclusion, the highest tertile of energy-adjusted dietary protein intake (≥ 1.11 g/kg/d)
291 and greater PA (> 3.2 km/d) were associated with healthier body composition phenotype and
292 cardiometabolic risk factors in the BPRHS cohort. It is important to note that significant
293 associations of PA with healthier body composition and cardiometabolic profiles were observed,
294 despite all PA levels performing inadequate time being physically active. These results also
295 suggest that protein intake ≥ 1.11 g/kg/d may be beneficial for maintaining greater LST
296 (including ALST) when body weight is accounted for in Puerto Rican adults. Given the
297 prevalence of obesity, inflammation and other cardiometabolic risk factors in the population,
298 along with low PA, and lower protein intake, these findings support the need for the
299 development of interventions that focus on the importance of increasing daily PA and protein
300 intake.

301 **Abbreviations**

302 ALST, appendicular lean soft tissue; ALSTI, appendicular lean soft tissue index; CRP, C-
303 reactive protein; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; FM, fat mass; FMI, fat mass index;
304 LST, lean soft tissue; LSTI, lean soft tissue index; PA, physical activity.

305 **Declarations**

306 *Ethics approval and consent to participate*

307 All participants provided written informed consent prior to the start of the study in the language
308 of preference. The data were approved for use by the Florida State University Institutional
309 Review Board.

310 *Availability of data and materials*

311 All data analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary
312 information files.

313 *Competing interests*

314 MJO serves on the Scientific Advisory Board for Dymatize Nutrition, the International Protein
315 Board, and Clif Bar. PJA serves for Dymatize Nutrition, International Protein Board, and
316 Isagenix. AFB, CMP, SG, SML, and KLT have no conflicts of interest.

317 *Funding*

318 This study was supported by grants from the NIH (P01 AG023394, P50 HL105185, and R01
319 AG027087-01A1).

320 *Authors' contributions*

321 The authors' responsibilities were as follows – KLT: original study design for BPRHS; SG,
322 AFB, SML, CMP, and MJO: data analysis; AFB, MJO, CMP, SML, PJA: data interpretation and
323 manuscript writing; AFB, MJO and CMP: study oversight; AFB, MJO, CMP, SG, SML, PJA,

324 KLT: data interpretation and critical revision of the manuscript. The authors had no conflicts of
 325 interest.

REFERENCES

- 326 1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the
 327 United States, 2011-2012. *Jama* [Internet]. 2014;311:806–14. Available from:
 328 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24570244>
- 329 2. Gilbert J-A, Gasteyger C, Raben A, Meier DH, Astrup A, Sjödin A. The effect of tesofensine
 330 on appetite sensations. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 2012;20:553–61.
- 331 3. Layman DK, Evans EM, Erickson D, Seyler J, Weber J, Bagshaw D, et al. A Moderate-
 332 Protein Diet Produces Sustained Weight Loss and Long-Term Changes in Body Composition
 333 and Blood Lipids in. *J. Nutr.* 2009;139:514–21.
- 334 4. Lejeune MPM, Westerterp KR, Adam TCM, Luscombe-Marsh ND, Westerterp-Plantenga
 335 MS. Ghrelin and glucagon-like peptide 1 concentrations, 24-h satiety, and energy and substrate
 336 metabolism during a high-protein diet and measured in a respiration chamber. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*
 337 2006;83:89–94.
- 338 5. Baer DJ, Stote KS, Paul DR, Harris GK, Rumpler W V, Clevidence BA. Whey Protein but
 339 Not Soy Protein Supplementation Alters Body Weight and Composition in Free-Living
 340 Overweight and Obese Adults. *J. Nutr.* 2011;141:1489–94.
- 341 6. Arciero PJ, Edmonds R, He F, Ward E, Gumpricht E, Mohr A, et al. Protein-Pacing Caloric-
 342 Restriction Enhances Body Composition Similarly in Obese Men and Women during Weight
 343 Loss and Sustains Efficacy during Long-Term Weight Maintenance. *Nutrients.* 2016;8:1–19.
- 344 7. Arciero PJ, Ormsbee MJ, Gentile CL, Nindl BC, Brestoff JR, Ruby M. Increased protein
 345 intake and meal frequency reduces abdominal fat during energy balance and energy deficit.
 346 *Obesity* (Silver Spring). [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2014 Dec 22];21:1357–66. Available from:
 347 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23703835>
- 348 8. Arciero PJ, Gentile CL, Martin-pessman R, Ormsbee MJ, Everett M, Zwicky L, et al.
 349 Increased Dietary Protein and Combined High Intensity Aerobic and Resistance Exercise
 350 Improves Body Fat Distribution and Cardiovascular Risk Factors. *Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc.*
 351 *Metab.* 2006;16:373–92.
- 352 9. Arciero PJ, Gentile CL, Pressman R, Everett M, Ormsbee MJ, Martin J, et al. Moderate
 353 protein intake improves total and regional body composition and insulin sensitivity in overweight
 354 adults. *Metabolism.* 2008;57:757–65.
- 355 10. Astrup A. The satiating power of protein — a key to obesity prevention ? *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*
 356 2005;82:1–2.
- 357 11. Weigle DS, Breen PA, Matthys CC, Callahan HS, Meeuws KE, Burden VR, et al. A high-
 358 protein diet induces sustained reductions in appetite , ad libitum caloric intake , and body weight
 359 despite compensatory changes in diurnal plasma leptin and ghrelin concentrations 1 – 3. *Am. J.*
 360 *Clin. Nutr.* 2005;82:41–8.
- 361 12. Bhupathiraju SN, Dawson-hughes B, Hannan MT, Lichtenstein AH, Tucker KL. Centrally

- 362 located body fat is associated with lower bone mineral density in older Puerto Rican adults 1 – 3.
363 *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2011;2008:1063–70.
- 364 13. Rhee JJ, Cho E, Willett WC. Energy adjustment of nutrient intakes is preferable to
365 adjustment using body weight and physical activity in epidemiological analyses. *Public Health*
366 *Nutr.* 2014;17:1054–60.
- 367 14. Tucker KL, Bermudez OI, Castaneda C. Type 2 diabetes is prevalent and poorly controlled
368 among Hispanic elders of Caribbean origin. *Am. J. Public Health.* 2000;90:1288–93.
- 369 15. Willett W, Howe G, Kushi L. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies.
370 *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1997;65:1220S–1228.
- 371 16. Stiegler P, Cunliffe A. The Role of Diet and Exercise for the Maintenance of Fat-Free Mass
372 and Weight Loss. *Sport. Med.* 2006;36:239–62.
- 373 17. Noakes M, Keogh JB, Foster PR, Clifton PM. Effect of an energy-restricted, high-protein,
374 low-fat diet relative to a conventional high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet on weight loss , body
375 composition, nutritional status, and markers of cardiovascular health in obese women 1 – 3. *Am.*
376 *J. Clin. Nutr.* 2005;81:1298–306.
- 377 18. Yao M, Mccrory MA, Ma G, Tucker KL, Gao S, Fuss P, et al. Relative influence of diet and
378 physical activity on body composition in urban Chinese adults 1 – 4. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*
379 2003;77:1409–16.
- 380 19. Arciero PJ, Baur D, Connelly S, Ormsbee MJ. Timed-daily ingestion of whey protein and
381 exercise training reduces visceral adipose tissue mass and improves insulin resistance: the PRISE
382 study. *J. Appl. Physiol.* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2014 Dec 15];117:1–10. Available from:
383 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24833780>
- 384 20. Ogden CL. Prevalence of Obesity in the United States. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2014;312:2004–
385 6.
- 386 21. Tucker KL, Mattei J, Noel SE, Collado BM, Mendez J, Nelson J, et al. The Boston Puerto
387 Rican Health Study, a longitudinal cohort study on health disparities in Puerto Rican adults:
388 challenges and opportunities. *BMC Public Health.* 2010;10:107.
- 389 22. Laugero KD, Falcon LM, Tucker KL. Relationship between perceived stress and dietary and
390 activity patterns in older adults participating in the Boston Puerto Rican Health Study. *Appetite.*
391 2011;56:194–204.
- 392 23. Tucker KL. Stress and nutrition in relation to excess development of chronic disease in
393 Puerto Rican adults living in the Northeastern USA. *J. Med. Investig.* 2005;52:252–8.
- 394 24. Chumlea WC, Guo SS, Wholihan K, Cockram D, Kuczmarski RJ, Johnson CL. Stature
395 prediction equations for elderly non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Mexican-American
396 persons developed from NHANES III data. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 1998. p. 137–42.
- 397 25. Seeman TE, Charpentier PA, Berkman LF, Tinetti ME, Guralnik JM, Albert M, et al.
398 Predicting changes in physical performance in a high-functioning elderly cohort: MacArthur
399 Studies of Successful Aging. *J. Gerontol.* 1994;49:M97–108.
- 400 26. Tucker KL, Bianchi L a., Maras J, Bermudez OI. Adaptation of a Food Frequency
401 Questionnaire to Assess Diets of Puerto Rican and Non-Hispanic Adults. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*
402 1998;148:507–18.

- 403 27. Castaneda C, Bermudez OI, Tucker KL. Protein nutritional status and function are associated
404 with type 2 diabetes in Hispanic elders 1 – 4. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2000;72:89–95.
- 405 28. Crombie AP, Liu PY, Ormsbee MJ, Ilich JZ. Weight and body-composition change during
406 the college freshman year in male general-population students and Army Reserve Officer
407 Training Corps (ROTC) cadets. *Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metab.* 2012;22:412–21.
- 408 29. Heymsfield SB, Heo M, Thomas D, Pietrobelli A. Scaling of body composition to height:
409 Relevance to height-normalized indexes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2011;93:736–40.
- 410 30. Paffenbarfer RS, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Lee IM, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The association of
411 changes in physical activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with mortality among men.
412 *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1993;328:538–45.
- 413 31. Paffenbarger RS, Blair SN, Lee IM, Hyde RT. Measurement of physical activity to assess
414 health effects in free-living populations. *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.* 1993;25:60–70.
- 415 32. Willet W, Stampfer MJ. Total Energy Intake: Implications for Epidemiological Analyses.
416 *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 1986;124:17–27.
- 417 33. Houston DK, Nicklas BJ, Ding J, Harris TB, Tyllavsky FA, Newman AB. Dietary protein
418 intake is associated with lean mass change in older , community-dwelling adults : the Health ,
419 Aging , and Body Composition (Health ABC) Study 1 – 3. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2008;87:150–5.
- 420 34. Evans EM, Mojtahedi MC, Thorpe MP, Valentine RJ, Kris-Etherton PM, Layman DK.
421 Effects of protein intake and gender on body composition changes: a randomized clinical weight
422 loss trial. *Nutr. Metab. (Lond).* 2012;9:55.
- 423 35. Layman DK, Evans E, Baum JI, Seyler J, Erickson DJ, Boileau R a. Dietary protein and
424 exercise have additive effects on body composition during weight loss in adult women. *J. Nutr.*
425 2005;135:1903–10.
- 426 36. Layman DK, Boileau R a, Erickson DJ, Painter JE, Shiue H, Sather C, et al. A reduced ratio
427 of dietary carbohydrate to protein improves body composition and blood lipid profiles during
428 weight loss in adult women. *J. Nutr.* 2003;133:411–7.
- 429 37. Bendtsen LQ, Lorenzen JK, Bendtsen NT, Rasmussen C, Astrup A. Effect of dairy proteins
430 on appetite, energy expenditure, body weight, and composition: a review of the evidence from
431 controlled clinical trials. *Adv. Nutr.* 2013;4:418–38.
- 432 38. Mitchell D, Haan MN, Steinberg FM, Visser M. Body Composition in the elderly: the
433 influence of nutritional factors and physical activity. *J. Nutr. Health Aging.* 2003;7:130–9.
- 434 39. Tucker KL, Falcon LM, Bianchi LA, Cacho E, Bermudez OI. Self-Reported Prevalence and
435 Health Correlates of Functional Limitation Among Massachusetts Elderly Puerto Ricans ,
436 Dominicans , and a Non-Hispanic White Neighborhood Comparison Group. *J. Gerontology.*
437 2000;55:90–7.
- 438 40. Tudor-Locke C, Bassett DR. How many steps/day are enough? Preliminary pedometer
439 indices for public health. *Sport. Med.* 2004;34:1–8.
- 440 41. Due A, Toubro S, Stender S, Skov AR, Astrup A. The effect of diets high in protein or
441 carbohydrate on inflammatory markers in overweight subjects. *Diabetes. Obes. Metab.*
442 2005;7:223–9.
- 443 42. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Rose L, Buring JE, Cook NR. Comparison of C-reactive protein and

- 444 low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular event. *N. Engl.*
445 *J. Med.* 2002;347:1557–65.
- 446 43. Khoo J, Dhamodaran S, Chen D, Yap S, Chen R, Tian R. Exercise-induced weight loss is
447 more effective than dieting for improving adipokine profile, insulin resistance and inflammation
448 in obese men. *Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metab.* 2015;25:566–75.
- 449 44. Skogstad M, Lunde L-K, Skare Ø, Mamen A, Alfonso JH, Øvstebø R, et al. Physical activity
450 initiated by employer and its health effects; an eight week follow-up study. *BMC Public Health.*
451 2016;16:377.

Figure Legends

FIGURE 1. Mean macronutrient (kcal/d) consumption across tertiles of protein intake.

Data were adjusted for energy intake (kcal/d) by using linear regression analysis. LP (lower protein tertile, ≤ 0.91 g/kg/d); MP (moderate protein tertile, ≥ 0.91 g/kg/d ≤ 1.11 g/kg/d); HP (higher protein tertile, >1.11 g/kg/d). LP, $n = 317$; MP, $n = 316$; HP, $n = 316$; ** $P \leq 0.001$ between LP and MP; ## $P \leq 0.001$ between MP and HP; ♦♦ $P \leq 0.001$ between LP and HP.

FIGURE 2. Fat mass and lean soft tissue across tertiles of protein intake and physical activity.

Data were adjusted for body weight (kg) and energy intake (kcal/d) by using linear regression analysis. LP (lower protein tertile, ≤ 0.91 g/kg/d); MP (moderate protein tertile, ≥ 0.91 g/kg/d ≤ 1.11 g/kg/d); HP (higher protein tertile, >1.11 g/kg/d); PA1 (low physical activity tertile, < 0.8 km/d); PA2 (moderate physical activity tertile, ≥ 0.8 km/d ≤ 3.2 km/d); PA3 (high physical activity tertile >3.2 km/d). LP, $n = 317$; MP, $n = 316$; HP, $n = 316$; PA1, $n = 364$; PA2, $n = 235$; PA3, $n = 351$. ** $P \leq 0.001$ between LP and MP; ## $P \leq 0.001$ between MP and HP; ♦♦ $P \leq 0.001$ between LP and HP; * $P < 0.05$ between PA1 and PA2; ❖❖ $P < 0.001$ between PA1 and PA2.